PREPRINT

NASA TIN X-63 288

BEHAVIOR OF THE IONIZATION CROSS SECTION IN HIGH ENERGY ELECTRON-HYDROGENIC ATOM COLLISION

KAZEM OMIDVAR

JULY 1968

GSFC GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER
GREENBELT, MARYLAND

1	N 02-538.	79
RM 602	(ACCESSION NUMBER)	(THRU)
₹ E	(PAGES)	(CODE)
FACILI	(NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)	(CATEGORY)



BEHAVIOR OF THE IONIZATION CROSS SECTION IN HIGH ENERGY ELECTRON-HYDROGENIC ATOM COLLISION

K. Omidvar

Laboratory for Theoretical Studies, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland

The ionization cross section per unit range of the energy & of the ejected electrons for collision of an incident charged particle of high energy E with an atomic system is given by $dQ/d_{\varepsilon} = E^{-1}[A(_{\varepsilon})] + B(_{\varepsilon})]$, where A and B are functions of &, and the total cross section is given by $Q = E^{-1}[A_T \ln E + B_T]$. The coefficients $A(\epsilon)$ and $B(\epsilon)$ for the particular case of the atomic hydrogen are derived analytically as an expansion with respect to $k = \sqrt{\epsilon}$. The expansion converges for all values of k. By integrating $A(\varepsilon)$ and $B(\varepsilon)$ with respect to ϵ , A and B are obtained and compared with the values given by Bethe using a different method. The coefficients of the first two leading terms of the expansion of dQ/de with respect to ϵ or $1/\epsilon$ when ϵ is small or large is found. A relationship for the average energy of the ejected e. ctrons is given. Different features of the high energy impact ionization and certain connection between the ionization and the discrete level excitation is discussed.

I. FORMULATION

Bethe in a memorable article¹ has shown that for inelastic collision of a charged particle of high energy E with an atom the cross section dependes on E, and linearly on two parameters which are functions of the atomic structure and the energy transferred to the atom. For ionization of an hydrogenic atom of nuclear charge Z by an electron with non-relativistic high energy E, the cross section per unit range of the energy E of the ejected electron and the total cross section are given respectively by

$$dQ/d\varepsilon \sim (Z^4k_1^2)^{-1}[A(\varepsilon)\ln k_1^2 + B(\varepsilon)]_{\pi a_0^2}, \qquad k_1^2 = a_0^2 E/Z^2 , \qquad (1)$$

$$Q = \int_{0}^{\epsilon_{\text{max}}} \frac{dQ}{d\epsilon} d\epsilon \sim (Z^{4}k_{1}^{2})^{-1} \left[A_{T} \ln k_{1}^{2} + B_{T}\right]_{\pi} a_{0}^{2}$$
(2)

with a_0 the Bohr radius and E and ϵ in rydbergs. $A(\epsilon)$ and $B(\epsilon)$ are functions of ϵ . A_{τ} and B_{τ} are dimensionless constants and independent of the nuclear charge, and are integrals of $A(\epsilon)$ and $B(\epsilon)$ with respect to ϵ . ϵ_{max} is the maximum value of ϵ . Eqs. (1) and (2) will be derived here. Bethe has derived (1) and (2), and using sum rules for the generalized oscillator strength he has evaluated A_{τ} and B_{τ} for the hydrogenic atoms. Here $A(\epsilon)$ and $B(\epsilon)$ are derived analytically, and by integrating them over ϵ , values of A_{τ} and B_{τ} are rederived.

With the system of an incident electron and an hydrogenic atom of nuclear charge Z let k_1^l , k_2^l , and k_2^l represent the momenta of the incident, scattered, and ejected electrons, and $K^l = k_1^l - k_2^l$. We take h = m = 1 with m the mass of the electron, and throughout we express energy in rydbergs. Then $E = k_1^{l 2}$ and

 $_{\mathfrak{E}}$ = $k^{1/2}$. In this way E and $_{\mathfrak{E}}$ take the dimension of the inverse of the length squared, but numerically their values are given by rydbergs. In the Born approximation

$$Q = \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \max_{d\varepsilon} d\varepsilon , \qquad (3)$$

$$\frac{dQ}{d\varepsilon} = \frac{8\pi}{a_{0}^{2}E(Z^{2}/a_{0}^{2} + \varepsilon)} \int \frac{dK^{i}}{K^{i}} \frac{df}{d\varepsilon} , \qquad (4)$$

$$k_{1}^{i} - k_{2}^{i}$$

where $\text{d}f/\text{d}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is the generalized oscillator strength per unit range of $_{\mathfrak{C}}$ defined by

$$\frac{\mathrm{df}}{\mathrm{de}} = \frac{\mathrm{Z}^2/\mathrm{a}_0^2 + \epsilon}{2\mathrm{K}^{12}} \int \mathrm{k}^{1} \, \mathrm{d}\hat{\mathbf{k}}^{1} \, | \, \langle \hat{\mathbf{k}}^{1} \, | \, \mathrm{e}^{i\hat{\mathbf{K}}^{1}} \, \cdot \, \mathcal{L} | \, \mathrm{1s} \rangle |^2 \,, \tag{5}$$

with |ls> and |k'> the ground and the continuum wave functions of the atomic electron |k'> is normalized such that asymptotically |k'>~ $(2\pi)^{-3/2} e^{ik'} \cdot \tilde{x}$. We introduce the dimensionless vectors

 $\stackrel{K}{\underset{\sim}{}_{1}}, \stackrel{K}{\underset{\sim}{}_{2}}, \stackrel{K}{\underset{\sim}{}_{2}} \text{ and } \stackrel{K}{\underset{\sim}{}_{2}} \text{ by } \stackrel{K}{\underset{\sim}{}_{1}} = \mu \stackrel{K}{\underset{\sim}{}_{1}}, \stackrel{K}{\underset{\sim}{}_{2}} = \mu \stackrel{K}{\underset{\sim}{}_{2}}, \stackrel{K}{\underset{\sim}{}_{1}} = \mu \stackrel{K}{\underset{\sim}{}_{3}}, \text{ and } \stackrel{K}{\underset{\sim}{}_{1}} = \mu \stackrel{K}{\underset{\sim}{}_{3}}, \text{ where } \mu = \mathbb{Z}/a_{o}.$ Evaluation of $df/d\varepsilon$ then leads to^{1} , 2

$$\frac{dQ}{de} = \frac{2^{10}(\pi_{R_0^2})}{Z^{4}E(1 - e^{-2\pi/k})} \int_{k_1 - k_2}^{k_1 + k_2} F(K)dK, \qquad (6)$$

$$F(K) = \frac{e^{-2\phi/k}[K^2 + \frac{1}{3}(1 + k^2)]}{K[1 + 2(K^2 + k^2) + (K^2 - k^2)^2]^3}, \quad \phi = \tan^{-1}\frac{2k}{K^2 - k^2 + 1}$$
 (7)

We seek an expansion of F(K) which would converge for all values of the momentum transfer K. Similar expansion with useful application has been introduced by Lassettre³ for excitation of the non-hydrogenic atoms and deduction of the form of the differential cross section from experimental data. It is feasible that the present expansion will also find usefulness for ionization of the non-hydrogenic atoms. F(K) in (7) can be expanded in terms of K for small and large values of K, corresponding to the limits of the integral in (6) at high energy, but the integrals of the two expansions may and, in fact, do differ by a constant. To overcome this difficulty we change the variable of integration from K to $_{\mathfrak{Q}}$. This results in

$$F(K)dK = -\frac{e^{-2\phi/k}\sin^4\varphi}{2(2k)^5} \left[1 + \frac{\frac{1}{3}(1+k^2)\sin\varphi}{2k\cos\varphi - (1-k^2)\sin\varphi}\right]d\varphi.$$
 (8)

To separate out the logarithmic term in the integral in (6) we introduce φ_1 as the zeroth order term of the Taylor's expansion of φ with respect to K^2 . Then

$$\varphi_1 = \varphi - \varphi_0, \ \varphi_0 = \tan^{-1} \frac{2k}{1 - k^2},$$
(9)

and by direct substitution

$$\int F(K)dK = \frac{e^{-2\phi_0/k}}{6(2k)^5 (1 + k^2)} \int e^{-2\phi_1/k} \sin^4(\phi_0 + \phi_1) \left[2k \cot \phi_1 - 2 (1 + 2k^2) \right] d\phi_1.$$
(10)

From (7) and (9) it is seen that for all values of K and k, φ_1 lies between 0 and $-\pi$. The transcendental functions in the integrand in (10) can then be expanded in powers of φ_1 and the integration can be carried out term by term. With this procedure we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbf{F}(K)dK} = \frac{e^{-2^{3}\phi_{0}}}{6(1+k^{2})} \left[\frac{l_{n}(\sin\phi_{1})}{(1+k^{2})^{4}} + \frac{1+2k^{2}}{8(2k)^{5}} \Re(3a^{-1} - 4e^{2i\phi_{0}}b^{-1} + e^{4i\phi_{0}}c^{-1}) \right]$$

+
$$\frac{1}{(1 + k^2)^4} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m(\varphi_1) \times \frac{(-2 \varphi_1)^m}{m!}$$
], (11)

$$A_{m}(\phi) = \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{1+k^{2}}{2k} \right)^{4} \left[\left(\frac{1}{m} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2n} B_{n} \phi^{2n}}{(m+2n)(2n)!} \right) \Re \left(3a^{m} - 4e^{2i\phi_{0}} b^{m} + e^{4i\phi_{0}} c^{m} \right) \right]$$

$$+\frac{1+2k^{2}}{2k} \Re \left(3a^{m}-1-4e^{2i\varphi_{0}}b^{m-1}+e^{4i\varphi_{0}}c^{m}-1\right)\right]$$
(12)

where $\beta=1/k$, $a=\beta$, $b=\beta$ - i, $c=\beta$ -2i, and B_n is Bernoulli's number. Re stands for the real part of a complex quantity.

In this way, through (6), dQ/d_{e} is expressed as a converging sum over ϕ_{1} for any value of the momentum transfer, and the problem of integration is reduced to a summation. For high energy incident electrons to first order, $k_{1} - k_{2} = (1 + k^{2})/2k_{1}$, and $k_{1} + k_{2} = 2k_{1}$. Therefore the lower and the upper limits of the integral in (11) are given at high energy by $\phi_{1} = k/2k_{1}^{2}$ and $\phi_{1} = \phi_{0}$, respectively. Evaluating (11) at the limits, combining it with (6), and comparing the result with (1) gives

$$A(\epsilon) = \frac{2^{9}e^{-2\beta\phi_0}}{3\mu^2(1 - e^{-2\Pi_{\beta}^2})(1 + k^2)^5},$$
(13)

$$B(\varepsilon) = A(\varepsilon) \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{m}(\varphi_{0}) \times \frac{(2\varphi_{0})^{m}}{m!} - \ell_{n} \frac{1}{4} (1 + k^{2}) \right]. \tag{14}$$

Substitution of (1) into (3) and comparison with (2) shows that

$$A_{T} = \int_{0}^{\infty} A(c)dc = \int_{0}^{\infty} A(c^{2})dk^{2}, B_{T} = \int_{0}^{\infty} B(c)dc = \int_{0}^{\infty} B(k^{2})dk^{2}$$
(15)

where, because of the form of $A(\varepsilon)$ and $B(\varepsilon)$, the upper limits of the integrals have been extended to infinity without loss of accuracy⁴. Here, by definition, $A(k^2) = A(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon/dk^2 = \mu^2 A(\varepsilon).$ Similarly $B(k^2) = \mu^2 B(\varepsilon)$, and by (13) and (14) A and B become independent of the nuclear charge. Numerical evaluation of the integrals in (15) yields

$$\Lambda_{\tau} = 1.13365$$
 $B_{\tau} = 5.02625$ (15a)

This completes the derivation of Eqs. (1) and (2). Using these values of $A_{_{\rm T}}$ and $B_{_{\rm T}}$ in Eq. (2) we can write this equation alternatively as

$$Q \sim \frac{\sqrt{m_8^2 M^2}}{Z^4 k_1^2} \ln^4 C k_1^2 , \quad M^2 = 0.28341 , \quad C = \frac{1}{0.047483}$$
 (2a)

Eq. (2a) is the form originally given by Bethe with $M^2 = 0.285$ and $C = \frac{1}{0.048}$. A more accurate evaluation of M^2 and C using Bethe's method has been done by Inokuti⁵, and values given by (2a) are in agreement with these values to all places. Similarly Inokuti⁶ has given the numerical values of $A(\varepsilon)$ and $B(\varepsilon)$ in slightly different form, and (13) and (14) agree with these results.

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It is convenient to define by dQ_0/d_0 the cross section which is obtained by (4) by replacing the generalized oscillator strength by the optical oscillator strength, df_0/d_0 , given by the first non vanishing term of the Taylor's expansion of $e^{iK'} \cdot r$ in (5). Then by straightforward integration,

$$df_{0}/d\varepsilon = \frac{1}{6} \left(Z^{2}/a_{0}^{2} + \varepsilon \right) \int k' d\hat{k}' \left| < k' |r| ls > \right|^{2} = \frac{2^{7}}{3\mu^{2}} \times \frac{e^{-2\beta\varphi_{0}}}{(1 - e^{-2\pi\beta})(1 + k^{2})^{4}}.$$
 (16)

Comparison of (16) with (13) shows that

$$A(\varepsilon) = \frac{2}{3} \mu^2 k^i \int \frac{d\hat{k}^i}{\hat{k}^i} \left| \langle k^i | r | ls \rangle \right|^2 , \qquad (17)$$

relating $A(\varepsilon)$ to the squared modulus of the dipole moment, integrated over directions of the ejected electron. Substitution of (16) in (4), replacement of the limits in the integral in (4) by their first order term, and an intergration leads with the use of (13) to

$$\frac{dQ_0}{d\epsilon} \sim \frac{2\pi A(\epsilon)}{Z^2 E} \ell_n \frac{l_1 k_1^2}{1+k^2} . \tag{18}$$

Comparison of this with (13) and (14) shows that (1) can be written

$$\frac{dQ}{d\epsilon} \sim \frac{1}{2} \frac{dQ_0}{d\epsilon} + \frac{\pi A(\epsilon)}{Z^2 E} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m(\varphi_0) \times \frac{(2\varphi_0)^m}{m!} \qquad (19)$$

Then, contribution of the small scattering angle, or equivalently small momentum transfer by the incident electron, to the cross section represented by the logarithmic term in (1) is half the contribution of the dipole term integrated over all range of the momentum transfer. This provides a convenient way to avoid the introduction of a cut off in the integral in (4) for the distant collisions.

The two terms in (19) correspond to the dipole and the non-dipole parts of the cross section, and they are comparable in magnitude throughout the non-relativistic region of the incident energy (Cf. Fig. 3). This is in contrast to the excitation of the low lying levels of the atoms where at energies several times the threshold energy the dipole part dominates the total cross section. This also indicates that while photo-excitation is closely related to the high energy electron impact excitation, such relationship does not exist between photo-ionization and the electron impact ionization.

An interesting part of the present paper is to find the leading terms of the expansion of $dQ/d_{\tilde{e}}$ in terms of $_{\tilde{e}}$ or $1/_{\tilde{e}}$ where $_{\tilde{e}}$ is small or large, respectively. Using the explicit form of $A_{\tilde{m}}(\phi)$ given by (12) we find after some algebraic manipulation that we have the following limiting cases when k is small or large

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{m}(\varphi_{0}) \times \frac{(2\varphi_{0})^{m}}{m!} \sim \Gamma - 2^{-7}(41 e^{4} + 11) + 2^{-7}(13e^{4} - 443/3)k^{2},$$

$$\Gamma = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{l_{i}^{m}}{m(m!)} = 17.6674, \tag{20}$$

$$\frac{3\pi k^{5}}{k^{7}} \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{k} + \frac{1+2\pi^{2}}{3k^{2}}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{k} + \frac{1+2\pi^{2}}{k^{2}}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{k} + \frac{1+2\pi^{2}}{k^{$$

amos ser su se en la timbio es el se incidencia de la secola de la secola de la secola de la secola de la seco Analisa en espera de la secola de la composició de la composició de la composició de la secola de la secola de

It also is necessary to expand $A(\varepsilon)$ for small and large ε . In doing so we can establish that for $0 \leqslant k < 1$ the factor $(1 - e^{-2\pi/k})^{-1}$ which appears in (13) is equal to unity plus terms of the order less than k^{17} . Then for the expansion considered here this factor can be put equal to unity and we obtain

$$A(\epsilon) \sim \frac{2^9 e^{-4}}{3\mu^2} \left(1 - \frac{11}{3} k^2\right) ,$$
 (22)

$$\sim \frac{2^{8}}{k \to \infty} \left[1 - \frac{\pi}{k} + \frac{\pi^{2} - 3}{3k^{2}} \right].$$
 (23)

Substitution of (20) through (23) into (14) leads to

$$B(\epsilon) \sim \frac{1}{\mu^2} (B_0 - B_1 k^2), B_0 = 4.62393, B_1 = 6.35310$$
 (24)

With these values of $A(\varepsilon)$ and $B(\varepsilon)$ Eq. (1) can be written

$$A_0 = 3.12587, A_1 = 11.4615$$
 (26)

$$\frac{dQ}{d\varepsilon} \sim \frac{4\pi a^{2}}{E(a_{0}^{2}\varepsilon)^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{2Z^{2}}{3a_{0}^{2}\varepsilon}\right).$$

$$1 \ll \varepsilon \ll E$$
(27)

Eq. (26) is a valid expression when the incident and the scattered electrons have high, and the secondary electron has low, energies. Most contribution to the total cross section is due to this energy region of the secondary electron. Eq. (26) is related to the excitation to a high principal quantum number n of the normal state of the hydrogen atom in the following way⁷. Let Q(n) be the excitation cross section. By writing $e = -Z^2 n^{-2} a_0^{-2}$, where e is the energy of the states with the principal quantum number n, and noticing that dQ/de is a continuous function of e when e becomes negative, through the relationship Q(n)dn = (dQ/de)de we obtain up to e^{-4} terms:

$$Q(n) \sim 2(n^{3}Z^{4}k_{1}^{2}) [(A_{0} + A_{1}/n^{2}) l_{n} k_{1}^{2} + (B_{0} + B_{1}/n^{2})] \pi a_{0}^{2}.$$

$$n \gg 1$$
(28)

Brown⁸ has evaluated Q(n) for n >> 1 by an independent method, and (28) agrees with his results. Similarly for high n and high incident energies

(28) is in agreement with the results of the numerical integration of Q(n) by the author⁹.

Concerning Eq. (27) the leading term in this equation is the same as the elastic cross section between two electrons in a frame of reference where one of the electrons is initially at rest and its energy becomes 6 after the collision. The cross section in this case is the same classically and quantum mechanically, the classical cross section being given by the Rutherford formula on the quantum mechanical by the Moeller's formula. The reason for the agreement of the leading term with the elastic cross section is given in reference 10. Eq. (27) in addition gives a second order term which is due to the binding of the atomic electron to the nucleus. This term agrees with the classical calculation of Vriens and Inokuti, where quantum mechanical momentum distribution is assumed for the bound electron (private communication).

When & becomes comparable to E, the next higher term in E and also the contribution from the second Born approximation should be included in (27). In addition, the exchange effect for the identity of the two electrons should be taken into account. Because of the difficulty in calculating the second Born approximation this will not be done here. Neglecting the second term in (27), the inclusion of the exchange effect in the leading term of (27) leads to the Mott's formula¹⁰

$$\frac{dQ}{d\varepsilon} \sim \frac{\frac{4\pi}{a_0^2}}{a_0^2} \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{1}{(E - \varepsilon)^2} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon(E - \varepsilon)} \right]$$

$$1 \ll \varepsilon, E$$
(29)

Concerning the contribution of the exchange effect to the total cross section it should be pointed out that this is of the order of 1/E, and therefore it becomes negligibly small at high enough incident energies. This can be seen by integrating (27) over the energy region where ϵ is comparable to E.

A quantity of interest is the average energy of the ejected electrons in an ionization process. This quantity will be calculated below. By definition

$$\overline{\varepsilon} = \int_{O}^{\infty} \varepsilon \frac{dQ}{d\varepsilon} d\varepsilon / \int_{O}^{\infty} \frac{dQ}{d\varepsilon} d\varepsilon$$
 (30)

By writing $e = \mu^2 k^2$ and by making use of (1) we find that

$$\frac{k_{1}^{2}-1}{\epsilon} \sim \left(\frac{Z}{a_{0}}\right)^{2} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[A(k^{2}) \ln k_{1}^{2} + B(k^{2})\right] dk^{2} \right\}^{-1} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\infty} k^{2} \left[A(k^{2}) \ln k_{1}^{2} + B(k^{2})\right] dk^{2} \right\}$$
(31)

The integrals occurring in the denominator of (31) are given by (2). When k is large $A(k^2)$ and $B(k^2)$ are proportional to k^{-9} and k^{-4} respectively [Cf. Eqs. (23) and (25)]. The upper limit of the integral with respect to $A(k^2)$ in the numerator of (31) can then be put equal to infinity. For the integral with respect to $B(k^2)$ we have up to the first order terms

$$\int_{0}^{k_{1}^{2}-1} k^{2}B(k^{2})dk^{2} = \int_{0}^{a} k^{2}B(k^{2})dk^{2} + \int_{a}^{k_{1}^{2}-1} \frac{l_{4}}{k^{4}} k^{2}dk^{2}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{a} k^{2}B(k^{2})dk^{2} - 4 \ln a + 4 \ln(k_{1}^{2} - 1), \text{ and } (32)$$

where a is a value of k^2 at which $B(k^2)$ reaches its asymptotic form. In this way we find that

$$\overline{e} = \left(\frac{Z}{a_0}\right)^2 \frac{C \ln k_1^2 + D}{A_T \ln k_1^2 + B_T} , \qquad (33)$$

with C and D dimensionless constants given by

$$C = 4 + \int_{0}^{\infty} k^{2}A(k^{2})dk^{2} = 4.606$$
,

$$D = \int_{0}^{a} k^{2}B(k^{2})dk^{2} - 4 ma = -2.123 ,$$
 (34)

and A_{T} and B_{T} given by (15a).

It is more appropriate to use an asymptotic form for $B(k^2)$ in which the exchange effect is taken into account. Comparison of the leading term of (25) with (29) shows that when exchange is included the asymptotic form of $B(k^2)$ is given by

$$4 \left[\frac{1}{k^4} + \frac{1}{(k_1^2 - k^2)^2} - \frac{1}{k^2(k_1^2 - k^2)} \right] .$$

By making use of this form in (31), and noticing that when exchange is included $\epsilon_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{2} \, \mu^2 (k_1^2 - 1)$, we find that (33) is still valid provided we replace D in this equation by

$$D^{ex} = D + 4(1 - gn8) = -6.441$$
 (35)

It should be realized that although both the numerator and the denominator of (33) are of the form $\ell n k_1^2$ + constant, the origin of the logarithmic terms is quite different. The term in the denominator comes entirely from small momentum transfer, that in the numerator partly from these and partly from large momentum transfer. This indicates a large spread in the energy of the ejected electrons.

With or without exchange, $\overline{\varepsilon}$ as the incident energy increases reaches a limit given by (C/A_T) $(Z/a_O)^2 = 4.063$ Z^2 ryd. Then the maximum value of $\overline{\varepsilon}$ for an electron bounded to a central charge Z is proportional to its binding energy, although from (33) it is seen that the higher Z the higher the incident energy at which this maximum is reached. For many electron atoms it can be

said that the maximum value of the average energy of an ejected electron is roughly proportional to the square of the effective charge seen by the electron before its ejection.

We also notice that for atomic hydrogen the maximum energy loss by the incident electron cannot exceed 5.063 ryd. Bethe^{1, 10} has shown that the energy loss by an electron is an inelastic collision with the hydrogen atom reaches the value of 2 ryd at high energy, and that 28.34% of the collisions lead to ionization. Using these informations we find that at high incident energies the average energy loss of an incident electron in excitation of all the discrete states of the atomic hydrogen reaches the value of 0.7887 ryd.

Figures 1 through 4 illustrate some of the results obtained. Fig. 1 is the well known graphical comparison of the Bethe approximation with the Born approximation, given here for the specific case of ionization. Z^2EQ is plotted versus $gn(E/Z^2)$, where by Eq. (2) a straight line is obtained for the Bethe approximation. In the case of the atomic hydrogen the two approximations agree with each other, for energies larger than about 20 threshold units. For atoms with larger central charge the agreement is reached at still higher energies.

Fig. 2 is a plot of the dimensionless coefficients $A(k^2)$ and $B(k^2)$ versus the dimensionless parameter $k^2 = a_0^2 \epsilon/Z^2$. The coefficient $A(k^2)$ which is proportional to the dipole moment, Eq. (17), is appreciable only for small values of k^2 , corresponding to the small momentum transfer by the incident electron arising from the distant collisions. For large k^2 it falls off as k^{-9} . The coefficient $B(k^2)$ approaches its classical limit of $4/k^4$ given by the Rutherford formula. The figure shows clearly the transition between the quantal region, small ϵ , and the classical region, large ϵ . In the classical impulse approxi-

mation^{12 - 16} the total ionization cross section at high incident energy is specified by (2) where $A_T = 0$ and $B_T = 2/3$.

In Fig. 3 the ratio of the logarithmic part of the cross section as given by (2) to the total cross section is plotted. It is evident that contribution of the dipole term given by the logarithmic term to the total cross section remains a fraction of the total cross section for the whole non-relativistic range of the incident energies.

In Fig. 4 a plot of the average energy of the ejected electrons versus the incident energies is given. The average energy reaches the limit of 4.063 Z^2 ryd as the incident energy tends to infinity, signifying the limit of the impulse received by the atom. Relativistic corrections are neglected.

To complete the discussion we list the coefficients A(n) and B(n) originally given by Bethe for the electron impact excitation. Recalling the definition of Q(n) we can write in analogy to (1)

$$Q(n) = (Z^4 k_1^2)^{-1} [A(n) \ln k_1^2 + B(n)]_{\pi a_0^2}, k_1^2 = a_0^2 E/Z^2.$$
 (36)

The dimensionless constant and charge independent coefficients A(n) and B(n) are given for n = 2 through n = 12 in Table I.¹⁷. For higher values of n = 12 can be used.

Table I. Values of A(n) and B(n)

n 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 $n^3A(n)$ 17.7577 9.6108 7.9165 7.2596 6.9313 6.7423 6.6231 6.5430 6.4863 6.4448 6.4135 $n^3B(n)$ 12.227 10.7054 10.0612 9.7647 9.6051 9.5096 9.4478 9.4056 9.3755 9.3533 9.3364

The foregoing results for electron impact ionization and excitation are also applicable to the proton impact ionization and excitation. This results from the fact that the cross section is proportional to the square of the charge of the projectile, and in the approximation stated following Eq. (12) it depends on the initial relative velocity of the projectile-target atom only, and is independent of the reduced mass of the system (Cf. Ref. 2). Thus all equations derived so far can be used for proton impact provided E is replaced by (m/M)Ep, where M and Ep are the mass and energy of the incident proton. In this way (36) agrees with the high energy analytical expression of Bates and Griffing 18 up to, and excluding, the k_1^{-4} terms for n=2 and n=3 proton impact excitation; and (2) gives the same value at 3.5 MeV incident protons as the graphical Born calculation of Bates et al 18, and Peach 19, for proton impact ionization.

Acknowledgments

I wish to thank Mr. R. Baxter with gratitude for his evaluation of A and B on the computer. I am indebted to Dr. M. Inokuti of the Argonne National Laboratory for numerous valuable communications. Useful discussion with Dr. S. P. Khare, presently of the Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, India, is acknowledged.

Footnotes and References

- 1. H. A. Bethe, Ann. Phys., Lpz., 5, 525 (1930)
- 2. N. F. Mott and H. S. W. Massey, The Theory of Atomic Collisions, 3rd Edition (Oxford University Press, 1965), p. 489
- 3. E. N. Lassettre, J. Chem. Phys. <u>43</u>, 4479 (1965)
- 4. For $\epsilon \gg 1$ it is seen from (23) and (25) that $A(\epsilon) \propto \epsilon^{-9/2}$ and $B(\epsilon) \propto \epsilon^{-2}$
- 5. M. Inokuti, Report ANL-6769 (1963), Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois (unpublished)
- 6. M. Inokuti, Report ANL-7220 (1966), Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois (unpublished)
- 7. R. McCarroll, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) <u>A70</u>, 460 (1957)
- 8. L. M. Brown, Phys. Rev. 79, 297 (1950)
- 9. K. Omidvar, Phys. Rev. <u>140</u>, A38 (1965). See also Reference 7
- 10. L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, Quantum Mechanics (Addison-Weseley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass., 1958), CH. 15
- ll. Ref. 2, p. 818
- 12. M. Gryzinski, Phys. Rev., <u>115</u>, 374 (1958)
- 13. R. C. Stabler, Phys. Rev. <u>133</u>, Al268 (1964)
- 14. L. Vriens, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 89, 13 (1966)
- 15. J. D. Garcia, Phys. Rev. 159, 39 (1967)
- 16. R. Abrines, I. C. Percival, and N. A. Valentine, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London)

 89, 515 (1966), give a statistical solution of the exact classical problem,
 but B_T is not specified in their work.

- 17. These numbers with slightly different forms are given by Inokuti,
 Ref. 5, and they have been independently calculated and checked by the author.
- D. R. Bates and G. Griffing, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) <u>A66</u>, 961 (1953).
 See also R. M. May, Phys. Letters <u>14</u>, 98 (1965)
- 19. G. Peach, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 85, 709 (1965)

era or erapsis one expedit to 173

Figure Captions

- Figure 1. A comparison of the Bethe's approximation, Eq. (2), with the Born approximation. Q is the ground state ionization of an hydrogenic atom.
- Figure 2. A plot of the coefficients $A(k^2)$, $B(k^2)$, and $4/k^4$.
- Figure 3. $Q_{\rm D}$ is the logarithmic part of Eq. (2) and R is the ratio of this part to the total cross section.
- Figure 4. A plot of the average energy of the ejected electron versus the incident energy. Born approximation has been used for incident energies less than 25 ryd, and Eq. (33) for energies above this value.







